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## Introduction

## - Serious Crime - Property Crime

- Physical evidence
- Reference material
- The aim is always the identification of the offender(s) through the collected physical evidence

> Crime analysis - Serious Crime

- Important resources, many physical evidence
- Without suspect, Police forces are always in trouble!
- Property Crime
- Less resources for work, physical evidence
- Without suspect, we wait on another case(s), on "other chance"
- Police forces have in such cases not too much pressure


## Source attribution



Potential source(s)

Physical evidence collected on crime scenes

Will trigger police Investigation on the source

Attribution of the source $\neq$ Identification of the offender

- Links with Human ID marks

- Links with Objects ID marks



## Shoeprints potential

- Shoeprints are a type of physical evidence often collected
- Identification process is seen as the most important aim
- Probability to attribute shoeprints to knowns is relatively rare
- We need "relevant" suspects' pairs of shoes and their collection is never easy


POLICE

## Shoeprints potential

- What is the value of such investigative links (general design only)?
- What is the efficient strategy for such a use?
- You need :
- A database with a simple and relevant codification system
- Staff, who codifies all the different designs, and
- Analyst(s), who links the shoeprints with the same design and creates groups.
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# First Step Groups analysis 

## Shoeprints Intelligence




## Shoeprints Intelligence (January - February 09)




Switzerland
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Second Step } \\
& \text { Occurrences analysis }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Research and results

- Do we find often several times the same designs?
- If yes, what is the proportion of occurrences?
- Roughly 70 \% of the designs collected are found only one or twice on crime scenes during 11 years (1998-2009, $N>12,000$ ).
- Hence : We have about 30 \% of shoeprints collec


## Research and results

- This proportion of $70 \%$ is important and surprising.
- The other designs ( $30 \%, n>4,000$ ) were distributed in 404 clusters that range from 3 to 172 occurrences.
- The next graph shows the distribution of these clusters with their occurrences.

Distribution of the 4,078 shoeprints collected during eleven years (1998 to 2009) in Vaud area (30 \%) $\mathrm{N}>\mathbf{1 2 , 0 0 0 \quad n > 4 , 0 0 0}$
$3 \times 561$
$9 \wedge^{1} \lambda^{3}$
Number of occurrences

Distribution of the 4,078 shoeprints collected during eleven years (1998 to 2009) in Vaud area (30 \%)


## Time analysis

- A same design collected several times in the same area could be efficient for a crime analysis.
- Particularly if the period of time is short and the number of occurrences important.
- What is the life time of the different designs collected on crime scenes in Vaud area?
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## Third Step Time analysis

## Life time analysis

- 42 \% of the designs have a life time less than three months.
- 58 \% have a life time less than one year.
- 16 \% between one and two years.
- $12 \%$ between two and three years.
- Hence : Around 60 \% of shoeprints collected can be used for crime analysis because their life time is less than 1 year

Comparisons between time and occurrences

- Many designs have a long life time with a small number of occurrences.
- Other have a long life time too but with a large number of occurrences.
- Many designs have a short life time with a small number of occurrences.
- Designs have a short life time with a large number of occurrences.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4th Step } \\
& \text { Life time and occurrences } \\
& \text { analysis }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparisons between lifetime and occurrences




## Comparisons between lifetime and occurrences



## Comparisons between lifetime and occurrences

- Designs with a number of occurrences higher than 5 or 10 is retained for crime analysis in Vaud area
- Particularly, if the designs have a short life time (less than six months)


## Comparisons between time and occurrences




## Research and results

- With such good results, we decided to work with a bigger geographical area
- Romandie area with more than 2.5 million people
- We have to change our operational strategy and our IT infrastructure
- Because we have no time for analysing all the clusters of the different police forces



## Research and results

- We obtain good results too
- The number of occurrences should be higher than 15 for an efficient crime analysis in this bigger area




## Conclusion

- A statistical approach with shoeprints evidence could detect automatically the presence of potential links between different cases
- The presence of the same design could show burglars' activity, particularly when during a short period of time this number of occurrences is high


## Questions ?

## Thank you




